United States District Court

for the

SOUTHERN District of ILLINOIS

United States of America v. Derron W. Smith -Johnson Defendant) (Case No. 22-mj-8040-GCS) ()
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eli	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
	wn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact $2(i)$, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ons have been met:
	e following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
_ *,	um term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	m sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the . §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 05 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or to	n convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs wo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses the (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal attion of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a	crime of violence but involves:
	of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been conv	victed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on rele	ease pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Section 2015 Secti
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: \[\textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. \[\textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: \[\textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \] \[\textstyle \text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \] \[\textstyle \text{Prior criminal history} \]
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case 3:22-cr-30045-NJR Document 15 Filed 04/05/22 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #21

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The Court held a detention hearing on March 30, 2022. (Doc. 7). The United States moved for detention due to risk of non-appearance and danger to the community. (Doc. 5). The Court received a bail report which recommended detention. Several factors weigh in favor of detention. First, the evidence against the Defendant is strong. While no statements were made, forensic evidence appears to tie the Defendant to the charged weapon. Second, the Government presented evidence	
of the Defendant being linked to a vehicle and weapon connected to several shootings which resulted in a homicide. Although there is no evidence the Defendant pulled the trigger, the evidence clearly shows the Defendant being linked to and possessing the aforementioned weapon. Third, the Defendant was arrested for the instant offense while he was serving a term of parole. He also has a recent failure to appear in a domestic battery case from December 2021. This recent behavior shows that the Defendant is not amenable to supervision. While the Defendant did propose a home plan with his current girlfriend, the Court notes that the Defendant was arrested with a prohibited weapon while living with his girlfriend. It is true that the proposed home plan is in a different residence from where the weapon was recovered. However, this home plan is still not ideal as the Defendant appeared to have the weapon in the girlfriend's residence without the girlfriend's knowledge. This demonstrates that the girlfriend cannot sufficiently act as a check on the Defendant nor does she appear capable of acting in a manner to ensure the Defendant's compliance with any conditions that could be imposed. In light of the above and the other evidence presented at the hearing, the Court does not believe that there are any conditions or combination of conditions that could be imposed to allow for the Defendant's release.	
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
Digitally signed by Judge Sison 2 Date: 04/05/2022 Date: 2022.04.05 08:28:59 -05'00'	

United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

04/05/2022